

Re: Levin v. Bank of New York, et al. - 09 Civ. 5900 (RPP) (S.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Patterson:

We represent defendant/third-party plaintiff Citibank, N.A. ("Citibank") in the above-captioned proceeding. We write on behalf of all three of the defendant banks ("Defendants") to request a further brief extension of their time to respond to Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File a Supplemental Complaint (the "Motion").

The Defendants' response to the Motion is currently due July 17, 2014. Defendants are in discussions with the Plaintiffs to establish appropriate procedures for any interpleader proceeding that may arise from the proposed Supplemental Complaint. Defendants' response to the Motion may depend upon the result of those discussions. Because Plaintiffs' counsel have requested additional time to consult with their clients on this issue, they have agreed that Defendants should seek a further two week extension of their time to respond to the Motion until Thursday, July 31, 2014. This is the Defendants' second request for an extension on the Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher J. Robinson

CJR/lp

cc:

To All Counsel (via email)

DWT 24475158v1 0067486-000015

Anchorage Bellevuo Los Angeles New York
Portland
San Francisco

Seattle Shanghai Washington, D.C. Application

Franted. So Ordered

7-16-14

www.dwt.com

nor a 🕙